## HUMAN RESEARCH

Human subjects participated in these studies after giving their free and informed voluntary consent. Investigators adhered to AR 70-25 and USAMRDC Regulation 70-25 on Use of Volunteers in Research.

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation.



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

Reproduced From Best Available Copy

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE   |  | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM   |
|---|--|--|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER  | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO   | . S. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER.   |
| `··· M9/84  |  |  |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle)   |  | S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED   |
| Using Research to Matc  | th the Soldier to the Job  |  |
| The second second   |  | 6. PERFORMING ONG, REPORT NUMBER   |
| the second section with the   | The second second second   | C. Parkonming one: National Rumber   |
| AUTHOR(#)   | 1  | S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(A)   |
| Marilyn A. Teves  | the state of the s |  |
| "1 "1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1   | M. A. A. Martin, M. G. Martin, Phys. Lett. B 50, 120 (1997). M. Martin, M. M. Martin, M. M. Martin, Phys. Lett. B 50, 120 (1997).  | · ·  |
| PERFORMING ORGANIZATION I   | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *  |  |
| US Army Natick Research Institute of Environmental<br>Medicine, Natick, MA 01760                      |  | 16. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK   |
|   |  | 7  |
| F <sub>1</sub>  | the state of the s | The second secon |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick |  | 12. REPORT DATE  |
|   |  | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES  |
| Frederick, MD 21701   |  | 6  |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME &  | ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)  | 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)   |
|   |  |  |
|   |  | 184, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING  |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (c   | · ·  | 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNSRADING  |
| is. Distribution statement (a<br>Distribution of this D   | · ·  | 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNSRADING  |
| •   | · ·  | 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNSRADING  |
| •   | · ·  | 154, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNSRADING  |
| •   | · ·  | 154, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING  |
| Distribution of this D  | · ·  |  |
| Distribution of this D  | ocument is Unlimited.  | en Report)   |
| Distribution of this D  | ocument is Unlimited.  | Accession For  |
| Distribution of this D  | ocument is Unlimited.  | Accession For  NTIS GRA&I  DTIC TAB  |
| Distribution of this D  | ocument is Unlimited.  | Accession For  NTIS GRA&I DTIC TAB Unannous  |
| Distribution of this D  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a   | ocument is Unlimited.  | Accession For  NTIS GRA&I  DTIC TAB  Unannounced Justification   |
| Distribution of this D  17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (a   | ocument is Unlimited.  | Accession For  NTIS GRA&I  DTIC TAB  Unannounced Justification   |

29. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

The study consisted of three MPESCAT battery administrations. Phase one--Pre Basic Training evaluation was performed on 980 male and 1000 female new recruits at Ft. Jackson, SC during September and October, 1982. Phase two--Post Basic Training evaluation was a subsample of the original group consisting of 90 males and 110 females. The purpose of Phase two was to examine changes in fitness levels following 7 weeks of basic training. Phase three---Post-Advanced Individual Training evaluation was administered to 470 males and 485 females who were members of the original Phase one group. In order to perform the Phase three-

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OMOLETE 84 01 03 030 Availability Codes

Manil and/or

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

testing, the USARIEM Exercise Physiology Division personnel were required to test at four different Army posts simultaneously. The AIT posts included were Ft. Jackson, SC, Ft. Gordon, GA, Ft. Lee, VA and Ft. Sam Houston, TX. During Phase three, a series of performance tasks were also administered to the same soldiers. These performance tasks were designed to represent the true demands of the MOS categories. The Army Research Institute (ARI) was changed with the collection and analysis of the performance task data. The performance task involved lifting, pushing, pulling, carrying and torque tasks. After careful comparison of MEPSCAT battery scores and performance task scores, ARI found the Incremental Dynamic Lift test to be the best test item to use to match individual capacity to the performance of the MOS related job tasks This recommendation has received careful scrutiny and review and has been approved at all levels within the Army, as well as the Office of the Secretary of Defense. A three year longitudinal follow up study will be performed by an army advisory group to determine the effectiveness of the MEPSCAT screening system in reducing work related injuries and improving the quality of job

## USING RESEARCH TO MATCH THE SOLDIER TO THE JOB

BY

## Marilyn A. Teves\*

Early in 1984, all new accessions will be required strength test during in-processing at the Military Enlistment Processing Stations (MEPS). This test, called the Incremental Dynamic Lift (IDL), requires recruits to lift the handles of a weight machine to a height of 60", the approximate height of a 2 1/2 ton truck bed. All soldiers start by lifting 40 pounds. The weight is increased by 10 pounds each lift, until the soldier is unable to complete the lift, or the 120 pound maximum is attained. The purpose of this test is to match the soldier's lifting capacity to military occupational specialty (MOS) lifting requirements. The 350-plus Army MOS have been classified into high or low strength categories. minimum passing weight a soldier must lift to be allowed entrance into the army is 40 lbs. Army enlistees able to lift 120 lbs will receive the highest possible score on this test. To qualify for the high strength category the soldier must be able to lift at least 80 lbs on the IDL test.

Although these standards and testing procedures seem relatively simple and straightforward, they represent years of careful research and planning involving several Army organizations. One of these, the US Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine (USARIEM) located in Natick, MA has played a major role in this investigation. USARIEM is the Army Surgeon General's

<sup>\*</sup> Research Physiologist, Exercise Physiology Division, US Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA 01760

medical research and development laboratory responsible for examining the physical fitness needs of the Army. The Exercise Physiology Division of USARIEM, under the direction of Dr. James A. Vogel, was tasked by the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel 1977 to develop a physical strength and in stamina fitness test battery to help match the soldier with an MOS for which he/she meets the physical requirements. This began a five year effort involving several major, on-site field studies prior to the actual implementation of The new system will provide many benefits to this new screening procedure. the individual soldier 1f properly utilized. The MOS 51R-Interior Electrician may be used to illustrate this point. Most new recruits do not realize that during combat, interior electricians would perform dangerous front line duty laying minefields. All danger to life and limb aside, this duty would require lifting upwards of 100 lbs to remove obstacles to mine placement. If individuals who do not possess this lifting capacity are selected for this MOS it could lead to injury, job dissatisfaction and inefficient unit functioning.

Attempting to match the soldier to the MOS is not an easy task, and there are several steps necessary in the process. The first step is to determine the physical demands of each MOS. The next step is to determine the best way to test the soldiers' physical capabilities. Finally, a validation of the results of the first steps must occur. two This validation involves testing a of. soldiers, classifying them according to group their physical work capacity, and placing them into an MOS for which they are physically and mentally qualified. Then the "on the job" performance of these soldiers must be assessed by experts within that MOS.

In 1977, the first step, MOS physical demands analysis, was begun with a group of MOS training school instructors. These wartime veterans were asked to identify and describe in detail all physically demanding tasks within each MOS. Once these strength and stamina requirements were identified, MOS's were grouped into five clusters based on similar strength and stamina requirements. For example, an 11B (Infantryman) falls into the high strength/high stamina cluster, while a 71L (Adminstrative Specialist) fails into the low strengh/low stamina group.

With MOS strength requirements the clustering identified and completed, the next problem was to devise safe, easily administered tests to match the soldiers strength and stamina qualifications with one of the five Two on-site studies were conducted to determine the best tests to utilize in the MEP stations. Early in 1978, appoximately 950 male and 500 female basic trainees at Ft Jackson, SC participated in an experiment to determine the best way to test soldiers' aerobic capacity, or stamina. These tests included running on a treadmill, bench stepping and skinfold measurements (the "pinch test") to predict percent body fat and lean body mass. These three tests are all good indicators of maximal oxygen uptake, or the maximum amount of oxygen the body can process each minute. While the treadmill test is the most accurate measure of oxygen consumption, the test procedure is very time consuming and requires much expensive equipment. The Exercise Physiology Division of USARIEM found that people could be satisfactorily classified serobically using only their calculated percent body fat and their sex.

The second study was conducted in the fall of 1979 at Ft Stewart, GA. For

this study strength classification, or more precisely lifting ability, was of p wary concern. 83 male and 44 female active duty troops assigned to the 24th Infantry Division were tested on a series of strength measures, skinfolds, and a lifting task. Six isometric tests were used, in which the soldiers pulled, pushed, or squeezed as hard as they could against an immovemble piece of equipment that registered their force. Isometric strength of the hand grip, back, upper body, leg, and two isolated positions in a lifting movement were measured. These isometric strength measures were then compared lift to the height of a 2 1/2 to the amount of weight soldiers were able to ton truck bed. Three measures were found to be the best classifiers: lean body mass (total body weight minus fat weight), mex, and score on an isometric upright pull test with handle height of 38cm.

Based on the data collected at Ft Jackson and Ft Stewart, the measures needed to classify recuits into both strength and stamina categories were: skinfold determination of percent body fat and lean body mass, 38cm isometric upright pull force, and the sex of the soldier. This information could be easily and safely obtained from recruits at the military entrance processing stations and used in the job counselling session.

The Women in the Army Policy Review Group (WTAPRG) came into being prior to implementation of this system. Its purpose was to examing problems and policies affecting women in the army. Some of the issues addressed were the uneven distribution of women in the many non-combat MOS's and the complaint from army field commanders that some women were physically incapable of performing their MOS duties. The WTAPRG decided to re-examine the MOS task analysis by a somewhat different methodology—similar to that of the US Department of Labor—which did not include the stamina aspects of the job.

With Army MOS's newly classified according strength to requirements, the strength testing procedures needed to be re-considered. In 1981, when the Secretary of the Army asked the USARIEM Exercise Physiology Division to re-evaluate and validate a gender free military enlistment physical strangth capacity test battery (MEPSCAT), they were more than ready to meet this challenge. Based on previous research efforts at Ft Jackson and Ft Stewart, five items were included for consideration in the new MEPSCAT battery:

- 1. Exercise heart rate bench stepping test of stamina
- 2. Skinfold determination of percent body fat and lean body mass
- 3. Isometric 38cm upright pull force-a measure of static lifting strength
- 4. Isometric handgrip force-indicative of whole body strength
- 5. Maximal incremental dynamic lift to 152cm and 183cm-a machine test of lifting strength

The study consisted of three MEPSCAT battery administrations. Phase one -- Pre-Basic Training evaluation was performed on 980 male and 1000 female new recruits at Ft Jackson, SC September and October, 1982. during two---Post Basic Training evaluation was a subsample of the original group consisting of 90 males and 110 females. The purpose of Phase two was to examine changes in fitness levels following 7 weeks σf basic Phase three--Post-Advanced Individual Training evaluation was training. administered to 470 males and 485 females who were members of the original

Phase one group. In order to perform the Phase three testing, the USARIEM Exercise Physiology Division personnel were required to test at four different AIT posts included were Ft Jackson, SC, Ft Army posts simultaneously. The Gordon, GA, Ft Lee, VA and Ft Sam Houston, TX. During Phase three, a series of performance tasks were also administered to the same soldiers. These performance tasks were designed to represent the true demands of the MOS categories. The Army Research Institute (ARI) was charged with the collection and analysis of the performance task data. The performance tasks involved After careful lifting, pushing, pulling, carrying and torque tasks. comparison of MEPSCAT battery scores and performance task scores, ARI found the Incremental Dynamic Lift test to be the best test item to use to match individual capacity to the performance of the MOS related job tasks. This recommendation has received careful scrutiny and review and has been approved at all levels within the Army, as well as the Office of the Secretary of Defense. A three year longitudinal follow up study will be performed by an army advisory group to determine the effectiveness of the MEPSCAT screening system in reducing work related injuries and improving the quality of job perfomance.

With the implementation of the new MEPSCAT system, a major effort by the medical research community will be completed, and the improved personnel management tools provided will be utilized throughout the Army. The USARIEM Exercise Physiology Division is now focusing its sites one step higher — to determine the physical demands of units during combat. This is another initiative in their mission to improve the physical readiness of our soldiers.